25.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.117.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 4055 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bongaon Police Station case no. 646 of 2026 dated 07.08.2026 under Sections 85/117(2)/109/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Subhadip Ghosh & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya
Ms. Popi Sarkar
.....for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rajan Chakraborty
Mr. Radheyshyam Tiwari
Mr. Shivam Mishra
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that petitioner has been foisted with the present criminal case out of trivial matrimonial dispute after the marriage continued for a period of two years.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

State was confronted relating to the presence of any other injuries being present or not.

Having considered the materials appearing in the Case Diary, I am of the opinion that custodial detention of the present petitioners who are the husband and mother-in-law of the informant is not required. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely, **Subhadip Ghosh** and **Latika Ghosh** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is in progress, petitioner no.1 shall meet with the investigating officer of the case once in a week till the report in final form is submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Petitioner no.2 shall make herself available as and when required by the investigating officer of the case for the sake of investigation.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 4055 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)