

03.07.2026
Court No.35.
D/L. 11.
Kausik
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 2751 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Baishnabnagar
Police Station 160 of 2019 dated 30.03.2019 under Sections
363/342/109/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with
Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

And

In the matter of : Madan Mandal & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Tapan Dutta Gupta
Mr. Parvej Anam
Mr. Sourav Sardar

.....for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rajendra Banerjee, Ld. APP
Mr. P. Karan Singh
Mr. Koustav Lal Mukherjee

.....for the State.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

In spite of service none appears on behalf of the opposite
party/victim.

Memo of Evidence submitted be also kept with the
record.

Learned advocate for the State submits that petitioner
is in custody for 1 and half years and till date only evidence of
the victim has partially been completed.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary and drawn the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C.

Having considered the evidence that is of the victim, till date is not completed, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

At this stage, prayer for bail of the petitioners in CRM (M) 2751 of 2025 is **rejected**.

Learned Trial Court would within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of this order complete the evidence of the victim.

Petitioner will renew his prayer for bail after the aforesaid period is over.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)