12 **30.10.2026**
Court No.03.
(Allowed) (NMD/D.Hira)

CRM (A) 3920 of 2026

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Dewandighi Police Station FIR No. 207 of 2026** dated **17.09.2026** under Sections **126(2), 118(1)(2), 109 (1) and 3(5)** of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

- And -

In the matter of: - **Dinabandhu Mukherjee.**

.....petitioner.

Mr. Ayanabha Raha,
Mr. Ranajit Roy,
Sk. Raju Ahmed,
Mr. Aloknath Shaw.

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Gauranga Kumar Das,
Mr. Amitabha Karmakar,
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Shah.

... for the State

1. This is an application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that there was an altercation between two groups of people.
3. He further contends that, in fact, he is the one who suffered injuries earlier and in support of such contention, he brings to the notice of this Court, medical records annexed to the petition.
4. He also submits that he has been on the run and has consequently not filed any complaint against the people who allegedly attacked him.

5. Learned advocate appearing for the State files a memo of evidence. The same is taken on record.
6. Learned counsel appearing for the State submits that the petitioner has been named in the complaint filed by the complainant, namely, Rakesh Roy.
7. He also candidly submitted that there is no leading statement of the petitioner.
8. He further submits that leading statements have been made by some of the other co-accused persons, pursuant to which the offending weapons have been recovered.
9. I have heard the learned advocates representing the respective parties and have considered the materials on record.
10. From the memo of evidence filed by the State, it appears that nine witnesses have been examined till date. No leading statement has been made by the petitioner.
11. I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioner is required in this case in view of the nature of the offences complained of as well as the progress made in the investigation till date and consequently, I am inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.
12. Accordingly, the present petitioner, namely **Dinabandhu Mukherjee** shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of Rs.5,000/- each, one of whom must be local resident, to the satisfaction of the **Arresting Officer**, subject to the condition that the present petitioner shall abide by the provision of Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha

Sanhita, 2023 and further condition that the petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation and shall not threaten or intimidate witnesses and/or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever

13. The petitioner shall meet the Investigating Officer of this case once a week till the conclusion of the investigation.

14. **CRM (A) 3920 of 2026** is thus **allowed** and **disposed of**.

15. The observations made above are only restricted to the adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered to be a final opinion on the merits of the case.

16. Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Rishad Medora, J.)