20.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.48.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 4038 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kalyani Police Station case no. 642 of 2022 dated 17.11.2022 under Sections 420/406 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Sandhya Karmakar & Anr.

.....Petitioners.

Mr. Balaram Patra
.....for the Petitioners.

Mr. Gouranga Kr. Das, Ld. APP
Mr. Bhaskar Sett
Mr. P. Karan Singh
.....for the State.

Mr. Imran Siddiqui
Mr. Irfan Jabed Ali
.....for the De-facto complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submit that one Ranjit Karmakar was employed in the office of the de-facto complainant wherein amount was transferred and subsequently it has been alleged against the said Ranjit Karmakar that he has transferred the money to the account of his mother and wife being petitioner no.1 and petitioner no.2.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail as substantial amount of the money was transferred and properties were purchased in the name of the present petitioners.

Learned advocate appearing for the de-facto complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the present petitioners and submit that these petitioners were not named in the initial charge-

sheet and in course of further investigation their names have transpired.

Having considered the overall materials appearing against the present petitioners, I find that the culpability is according to the prosecution case on one Ranjit Karmakar who happens to be the son of the petitioner no.1 and husband of the petitioner no.2. A case is made out against the petitioners which is subject matter of trial, however, custodial detention of the present petitioners may not be warranted in the facts of the case. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners namely, **(1) Sandhya Karmakar and (2) Sampa Karmakar** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Since, initial charge-sheet has been submitted before the jurisdictional Court this order of anticipatory bail of the petitioners shall remain in force for a period of six weeks from date.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 4038 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)