

19.02.2026

Court No.35.

M/L. 285.

Kausik

(Allowed)

CRM (M) 2685 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Raghunathganj
Police Station Case No. 808/2021 dated 18.12.2021 under
section 143/341/323/325/326/506/34 of I.P.C.

And

In the matter of : Firoz Sk.

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Usof Ali Dewan

Mr. Asif Dewan

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Kabir

Mr. Santanu Talukdar

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is in custody since September, 2025. Petitioner's
prayer for anticipatory bail was rejected. It has also been
submitted that after 4 months of the incident on or about April,
2022 the deceased succumbed to the injuries. The two persons
who inflicted the vital blow happen to be Obaidur Rahaman @
Tony and Wasim Akram. Both the said accused persons have
been granted bail.

Admittedly, it has been accepted that the petitioner was
not within the fold of law for a considerable period of time.
However, the petitioner is better placed than some of the
accused persons who have been granted bail.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits it was under the leadership of the present petitioner, the incident happened. The petitioner was absconding for a considerable period of time.

I have taken into account the statement of the witnesses, the post-mortem report and have also considered that there was an intra-party rivalry, consequent to which there was the scuffle and the deceased was assaulted by Obaidur Rahaman @ Tony and Wasim Akram who inflicted the vital blows. Petitioner also was present at the spot.

Having regard to the objection of the State regarding the petitioner evading the process of law and the fact that the petitioner at the relevant point of time was also officiating a public office and the deceased Gabbar Sekh expired after a period of 4 months from the date of sustaining such injuries, I am of the view that it would not be prudent to detain the petitioner further in connection with the instant case.

As such the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Firoz Sk.** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each. One of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned ACJM, Jangipur, Murshidabad.

If on bail petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not

leave the jurisdiction of District of Murshidabad without the prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 2685 of 2025 is **allowed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)