

15.01.2026
Sl. No.66
NB

CRM (A) 4221 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Raniganj PS Case No.345/2024 dated 08.11.2024 under Sections 20(b)(ii)(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of: Raju @ Rajesh Barik @ Rajesh Kumar Barik
... petitioner

Mr. Sachit Talukdar,
Mt. Tirtharaj Ghoshal,
Mr. Subhayan Barik.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Pravas Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Parvej Anam.
...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that other than the statements of a co-accused, there is no other material available to implicate the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State files a report, which is taken on record. He submits that proclamation has already been issued against the present petitioner. There are also telephonic conversations available as made between the present petitioner and the driver of the vehicle in question/co-accused at the relevant time.

Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the restriction contained in Section 37 of the NDPS Act, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)