**25.11.2026**
Court No.35.
D/L.111.
Rakib
**(Allowed)**

**CRM (A) 4049 of 2026**

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Belghoria Police Station case no. 324 of 2026 dated 08.09.2026 under Sections 117(2)/303(2)/351(3)/3(5)/109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

**In the matter of : Supratim Sarkar**

**.....Petitioner.**

Mr. Goutam Misra
Mr. Vaskar Pal
.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Mayukhi Mitra
Mr. Shayan Sachin Basu
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that there is a family dispute pursuant to which the petitioner has been foisted with the present criminal case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the injury report as well as the statement of the injured.

I have taken into account the complicity of the present petitioner, petitioner's action cannot be approved as he struck the vital blows on his uncle after spraying pepper. Having regard that the genesis of the case is a property dispute, on stringent conditions, I am allowing the prayer for anticipatory bail. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Supratim Sarkar** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is in progress, petitioner shall stay outside the jurisdiction of Belghoria Police Station and shall enter the jurisdiction only for the purposes of attending the investigating officer of the case once in a week till the report in final form is submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 4049 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**