

AD 58
January 05, 2026
Ct. 28
RANJAN

Rejected

CRM(A) 4204 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Ranaghat P.S. Case No. 655 of 2025 dated 14.10.2025 under Sections 137(2)/351(2)/107/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

Arjun Haldar & Ors.

... petitioners

Mr. Sumanta Das

... for the petitioner

Mr. Joydeep Roy

Mr. Mirza Firoj Ahmed Beg

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not the principal accused. Petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. There are case and counter case between the parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of witnesses including the father of the minor victim as well as the neighbor and the P.M. report. It is alleged that the present petitioner forcefully kidnapped the minor daughter of the complainant and pressurized him for marriage. Subsequently, the minor daughter was rescued by her family members.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the present petitioner Arjun Haldar.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)