20.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.46.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 4036 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Uluberia Police Station case no. 460 of 2025 dated 23.09.2025 under Sections 318(4)/316(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Bibekananda Pradhan

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Ganguly
Mr. Subhadip Maity
Mr. Raktim Siddhanta
.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Subrata Mukherjee
Mr. Goutam Banerjee
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that initially a case has been registered against the petitioner who happens to be a Teacher-in-Charge and Secretary of School Cooperative. Petitioner submits that he has responded to the notice issued by the investigating officer. The records are being handed over to the investigating officer of the case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that opinion of the handwriting expert has been sought for.

Having considered the overall circumstances and the prescribed punishment for which the investigation is in progress, I am of the opinion that custodial detention of the present petitioner may not be warranted in the facts of the case. As such, prayer for

anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Bibekananda Pradhan** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is still in progress, petitioner shall meet with the investigating officer once a week till the report the final form is submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 4036 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)