02.11.2026
Serial no. **10**
BP/AGM
(***allowed***)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

CRM (M) No. 2750 of 2026

In re: An application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Sessions Trial Case No. 40 (05) 2016 corresponding to C.I.S. No. 173 of 2016 also G.R. Case No. 11 of 2016 arising out of Pingla Police Station Case No. 7 of 2016 dated 10.01.2016 corresponding to Charge Sheet No. 42 of 2016 dated 30.03.2016 thereby alleging the commission of offences punishable under Sections 448/326/307/364/120B/506/302/201 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

-And-

In the matter of : Khokan Singh & Anr. … … Petitioners

Mr. Amit Ranjan Pati.
Ms. Swastika Chowdhury.
Ms. Ilika Nag.
Mr. Avijit Chatterjee.
… … For the Petitioners

Mr. Gouranga Kumar Das
Mr. Shatarup Purkayastha
… …For the State

This revisional application arises out of an order dated 9.9.2026 passed by the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, In-Charge, 6th Court, Paschim Medinipur in connection with Sessions Trial Case No. 40 (05) 2016.

After hearing the petitioners and the learned advocate representing the State, it appears that the petitioners were taken into custody as they violated the conditions on which they were enlarged on bail.

The learned advocate for the State vehemently opposes the application on the ground that the trial has

come towards conclusion. The petitioners from the trend of the evidence are convinced that they will be suffering a sentence and as such in an attempt to avoid the Court and prevent the trial to be concluded did not appear before the Court on two consecutive dates which resulted in issuance of warrant of arrest which ultimately culminated to the order impugned by virtue of which the petitioners were arrested on 17.2.2026.

Although, the apprehension of the learned advocate for the State is not unfounded but at the same time an accused unless proved guilty should be treated to be innocent.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court keeping in mind the period of detention and that the trial is not over even after expiry of about eight months, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail however on the stringent conditions.

Accordingly, I direct that the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only), with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Paschim Medinipur with the further condition that the petitioners shall not go out of the local limits of Pingla Police Station without prior intimation to Inspector-in-charge/Officer-in-Charge of the said Police Station and shall also meet the said Officer-In-Charge/Inspector-In-Charge on every seven days. The petitioners shall meet the investigating officer as and when asked for and shall cooperate with further investigation, if necessary. The petitioners shall be present before the court as and when required.

In the event, the petitioners fail to comply with any of the conditions as enumerated hereinbefore, it will be

open to the trial court to cancel the bail without further reference to this Court.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

CRM(M) 2750 of 2026 is **disposed of**.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)