

18.02.2026
Serial no. 266
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2646 of 2025

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Baishnabnagar Police Station Case No. 315 of 2025 dated 19.03.2025 u/s 137(2)/140(3)/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS and Section 4 of the POCSO Act & Section 9/10 of the Child Marriage Act.
-And-

In the matter of : Rabindranath Chowdhury

	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. Arup Kumar Bhowmick	
	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Arijit Ganguly	
Mr. Sujan Chatterjee	
	... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner and the victim was married and, thereafter, the petitioner was foisted with the criminal case with charges under the relevant provisions of the BNSS along with the provisions of POCSO Act and Child Marriage Act.

Additionally, it has been submitted that the petitioner is in custody for ten months.

Learned advocate for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the court to the statement of the victim both under Section 180 and Section 183 of the BNSS.

I have taken into account the nature of the allegations and I am of the view that, at this stage, this is

not a fit case for granting bail to the petitioner as only charges have been framed and witness action is yet to commence.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2646 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report submitted be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)