

08.01.2026  
Sl. No.29  
NB

**CRM (A) 4230 of 2025**

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Murutia PS Case No.204/2024 dated 08.07.2024 under Sections 117(2)/118(2)/329(3)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 adding Section 103 of BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Amanul Mandal @ Amanat & Anr.

... petitioners

Mr. Md. Golam Nure Imrohi,  
Mr. Partha Pratim Sinha.

...for the petitioners

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee,  
Ms. Poulami Bose.

...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. There was a free fight between two groups of persons. One of the victims in this case succumbed to his injuries. There was a delay of about 6 days in registering the FIR. Some other accused have been granted bail. The principal accused being one Nepal Roy is not before this Court. Charge sheet has been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He relies on the statements of eye-witnesses recorded before the learned Magistrate, the other statements of witnesses and the postmortem report. The petitioner and the other co-accused had assaulted four persons with iron rod. One of the victims succumbed to his injuries.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**