

15/01/2026
D/L – 57
Court No.28
S. Kundu
Rejected

C.R.M.(A) 4165 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023. In connection with Santipur P.S case no. 1309 of 2025 dated 10/10/2025 under sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/74/351(2)/3(5) of the BNS.

In the matter of: Haren Halder & Anr.

...Petitioners.

Mr. Sumanta Das

...for the petitioners.

Ms. Shaila Afrin

Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshee

...for the State.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners have been falsely implicated in this case. In fact, the daughter of the petitioner no. 1 had made similar allegations in her FIR against the associates of the de-facto complainant.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She relies on the statements of the mother and daughter as well as on the statements of the independent local witnesses.
3. It is the prosecution case that in a drunk condition the petitioners assaulted the victim and outraged the modesty of a minor girl. The FIR lodged from the petitioners' side was a subsequent one.
4. Considering the above, the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to

each of the petitioners, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)