

05.01.2026
Sl. No.19
NB

CRM (A) 4158 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with GR Case No.664 of 2025 arising out of Daspur PS Case No.282/2025 dated 16.06.2025 under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109(1)/76/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Sasanka @ Shashanka Dolai & Anr.

... petitioners

Mr. Soumyajit Das Mahapatra,
Ms. Madhurai Sinha,
Ms. Upasana Banerjee.
...for the petitioners.

Ms. Z. N. Khan,
Ms. Suruchi Saha.
...for the State.

Mr. Sayantan Hazra
...for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that there was fight between two groups of family members. None of the injuries suffered were grievous in nature.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the statements of the victim and the injury report and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. She submits that there were injuries inflicted with a bamboo stick on a vital part of the body, like head, requiring stitches for repair.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of the victim and others and the injury

reports mentioning the names of the present petitioners, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)