

M/L282
19.02.2026

Bpg.
Allowed

C.R.M. (M) 2675 of 2025

In Re: An application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Pandua Police Station Case No.100 of 2025 dated 13th February, 2025 under Sections 140(1)/103(1)/238/61(2) of BNS;

Abhi Ghosh
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Ankit Agarwala
Ms. Alotriya Mukherjee
Ms. Arpita Paul Biswas.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta
Ms. Rajnandini Das.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 1 week and the investigation having been concluded charge-sheet has been submitted before the jurisdictional court. Case has been committed to the court of sessions and charges have already been framed.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there were recoveries at the behest of the present petitioner relating to wearing apparels, a leading statement was recorded and the petitioner was last seen along with the deceased. There is also complicity involved of the present petitioner if the statement of the sister of the deceased is analysed.

I have taken into account the seizure made in the present case along with the statement that the petitioner was last seen. Having considered the seizure which has been made in the present

case, I find that the same is without any reason as to why at the afternoon hours only police personnel were made seizure list witnesses. Taking into account the period of detention of the petitioner and the fact that in the case diary nothing is reflected relating to earlier antecedents of the present petitioner, I am of the view that further detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted in the facts and circumstances of the case.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is allowed. The petitioner, namely, Abhi Ghosh shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned CJM, Hooghly. If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned trial court and shall not leave the district of Hooghly without the prior permission of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, 2nd FTC, Hooghly.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2675 of 2025 is allowed.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

