

12th May, 2026
Item no.D/L 09
Court No. 18
Pradip, A.R.(Ct.)

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

Case No. **WPA 27954 of 2024**

In the matter of:
Satyam Kundu *.... Petitioner*
VS.
Union of India & Ors. *....Respondents*

For the Petitioner:
Mr. Debasish Kundu
Mr. Sudepto Kumar Basu *....Advocates*
For the Union of India:
Mr. Shiv Chandra Prasad *....Advocate*

1. The petitioner participated for recruitment as Constable-GD in Central Armed Police Forces, SSF, Rifleman (GD) in Assam Rifles Examination, 2024.
2. He has been declared medically unfit by the Detailed Medical Examination Board and the Review Medical Board.
3. The petitioner thereafter got himself medically examined in State Government hospital and obtained a fit certificate in his favour.
4. The petitioner is aggrieved by the manner in which he has been medically examined by the Detailed Medical Examination Board and the Review Medical Examination Board.
5. Prayer has been made to reevaluate and reexamine the physical fitness of the petitioner by an independent medical board.
6. Submission of the petitioner is opposed by the learned advocate representing the respondents.

7. As per the Recruitment Rules, the decision of the Review Medical Board is final and no appeal can be entertained.
8. As it appears that the expert doctors of the recruiting authority declared the petitioner as medically unfit which is a ground for disqualification of candidature, accordingly, the Court is not inclined to interfere with the said finding.
9. As the petitioner participated for recruitment in the Central Armed Police Force, the medical certificate relied upon by the petitioner obtained from any other authority in support of the submission that he does not suffer from any such defect, cannot be accepted.
10. The standard of fitness of a civilian is not the same as required in the armed forces where strict and stringent methods to assess physical fitness are resorted to.
11. Moreover, the recruitment process of the year 2024 is long over and, accordingly, the same ought not to be directed to be reopened all over again.
12. In view of the above, no relief can be granted to the petitioner in the instant writ petition. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
13. Parties to act on the basis of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.
14. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)