

11th March,
2026
(AK)
19

F.M.A.T 58 of 2026
IA No: CAN 1 of 2025

Biman Kumar Sardar
Vs.
Bhabasindhu Sardar

Mr. Benazir Shaikh
Mr. Ibrahim Shaikh

...for the appellant.

1. We find from the valuation statement of the suit that the concerned District Court has pecuniary jurisdiction to entertain the appeal.
2. Accordingly, FMAT 58 of 2026 is dismissed on the ground of pecuniary jurisdiction, with liberty to the appellant to prefer an appeal before the appropriate court having such jurisdiction.
3. Leave is granted to the learned Advocate-on-record for the appellant to take back the certified copy of the impugned order upon furnishing photocopies thereof.
4. Consequentially, CAN 1 of 2025 is also disposed of without any order as to costs.
5. Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)

(Partha Sarathi Chatterjee, J.)