

January 14, 2026
Sl. No.44
Court No.1
s.biswas

WPA(P) 527 of 2025

Apurba Majumdar and another
vs.
The Union of India and others

Mr. Manas Kumar Das
Mr. Aritra Kumar Thokdar

... for the petitioner

Mr. Tilak Mitra
Ms. Sayani Roy Chowdhury

... for the respondent no.1/Union of India

Mr. Swapan Kumar Datta, GP
Mr. Nilotpal Chatterjee
Mr. Arkadipta Sengupta

... for the State

Mr. Gopal Chandra Das
Ms. Ananya Das

... for the KMC

Mr. Pritam Choudhury
Mr. Satyajit Mahata
Mr. Indrajit Sarkar

... for the respondent no.8

Mr. Sunil Kr. Gupta

... for the respondent no.9

Per, Sujoy Paul, A.C.J.

1. Parties are represented through their respective learned counsel. With the consent of the parties, the matter is finally heard.
2. Petitioner at the outset submits that under the relevant guidelines, petitioner has a remedy to ventilate his grievances and therefore he may be permitted to file appropriate representation before the relevant District Level Committee under the guidelines for the self-same grievance. In turn, the said committee may be directed to decide it within stipulated time. The other side has no objection.
3. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of by issuing following directions:

- (i) The petitioner may prefer a detailed representation for the present grievance and submit it before the aforesaid committee along with server copy of this order.
 - (ii) In turn, the said committee shall consider and decide the said representation in accordance with law within 4 weeks therefrom. If necessary, the said committee may hear the affected parties before taking final decision.
 - (iii) The outcome of such consideration shall be communicated to the petitioner.
4. The petition is **disposed of** without expressing any opinion on merits.

(Sujoy Paul, A.C.J.)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)