

Court No. 19
(265719)

13.01.2026
(AD 11)
(S. Banerjee)

WPA 27590 of 2025

Smt. Rupa Ghosh & Ors.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kushal Chatterjee
Mr. Debrup Choudhury

...for the petitioners

Mr. Chandi Charan De, AGP
Ms. Chandana Ghosh

...for the State

The petitioner no. 1 claims to have purchased several plots of land being plot nos. 528, 529, 537, 569 and 570 within Mouza – Bhaskur, under Police Station – Domjur in the district of Howrah by virtue of two registered deeds of sale being nos. 1791 and 1944 for the year 2006. The petitioner no. 1 also claims to have purchased the plot being no. Dag No. 576 in the year 2002 by virtue of two registered deeds of sale being nos. 2609 and 2907 of 2002. Petitioner states that by a registered deed of sale dated August 14, 2024, the petitioner no. 1 sold plot no. 537 in favour of the petitioner no. 3. The petitioner alleges that apart from Dag No. 529 the share of the petitioners in respect of plot nos. 528, 537, 569, 570 and 576 have

been recorded with double share and double area in the record of rights.

Mr. Chatterjee, learned advocate appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners attempted to submit two applications one for mutation of the name of the 3rd petitioner in the record of rights in respect of plot no. 537 and for correction of the area as well as the share of the raiyats in the other plots in the record of rights through online mode. He submits that in view of the discrepancies in the record of rights with regard to the share of the raiyats and the area of the plots in question, the petitioner was not permitted by the system to submit the aforesaid applications through online mode.

Mr. De, learned AGP, files the report of the Block Land and Land Reforms Officer, Domjur, Howrah dated January 8, 2026 which is taken on record. He submits that the errors which is alleged to have crept in the record of rights, can be corrected only after examination of all relevant records and documents and after giving an opportunity of being heard to all interested parties including the writ petitioners and for such purpose three months' time is necessary.

In the order dated January 5, 2026 this Court recorded a prima facie finding that certain technical errors have crept in the record of rights.

Since it has been alleged by the petitioners that the applications for mutation and for correction of record of rights are not permitted to be submitted through online mode, this Court permits the petitioners to submit the applications for mutation and for correction of record of rights in physical form before the Block Land and Land Reforms Officer, Domjur, Howrah.

If such applications are submitted, the Block Land and Land Reforms Officer, Domjur, Howrah is directed to consider such application and dispose of the same strictly in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and other interested parties.

Insofar as the allegation of the petitioner as to the recording of double share and the area of the plots in question is concerned, the respondent authorities are directed to take steps in accordance with law and rectify the defects, if any, as expeditiously as possible but positively by the end of the month of March, 2026 and to communicate such fact to the petitioner within the aforesaid time limit.

In the event the respondent authorities are of the view that there is no error in the record of rights, such decision shall also be communicated to the petitioners within the time limit mentioned hereinbefore.

With the above observations and direction the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)