

M/L253  
17.02.2026  
Bpg.  
Dismissed

C.R.M. (M) 2532 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023; An application u/s 439 of Cr.P.C., 1973 filed in connection with Netajinagar P.S./DSD Case No.250 of 2023 dated 06.12.2023 under Sections 120B/328/342/323/382/365/368/384/389/395/397/364A/201 of the Indian Penal Code;

Susmita Biswas  
Versus  
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Habibur Rahaman  
Mr. Maidul Islam Kayal  
Mr. Noor Ul Amin Sardar  
Mr. Archishman Singh  
Ms. Mehabuba Rahaman  
Mr. Joydeep Biswas.  
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaydeep Biswas  
Mr. Soumadip Saha.  
...for the State.

Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya  
Mr. Pintu Karar  
Mr. Sabab Uddin Laskar  
Ms. Meghna Chowdhury.  
...for the de facto complainant.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 2 years 2 months and she has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case. There is hardly any possibility of the trial concluding in near future as till date only four witnesses have been examined, as such, on any stringent conditions the petitioner may be released on bail.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the evidence of PW1.

I have taken into account the materials appearing against all the accused persons and the charges which have been framed.

Learned senior advocate appearing for the de facto complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the manner in which two of the persons were abducted, gaged and thereafter demand for lump sum was placed, I am of the view that until and unless substantial number of witnesses are examined before the learned trial court, at this stage, on the basis of materials available in the case diary, proper appreciation for releasing the petitioner may not be warranted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner at this stage is rejected.

Accordingly, CRM(M)2532 of 2025 is dismissed.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**