23.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.142.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 3857 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Hingalganj Police Station case no. 162 of 2026 dated 25.05.2026 under Sections 447 /448 /323 /325/ 354B/ 427/ 308/379 /376/511/420/406/506/120B/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Tarun Mondal

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Pabitra Biswas
.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Subrata Mukherjee
Mr. Suman Agarwal
Ms. Aishwarya Madhushree
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that because of change in administration petitioner along with others were implicated. So far as the present petitioner is concerned, he is innocent and has been a victim of political vendetta.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the victim under Section 183 of the BNSS.

I have taken into account names which are appearing, petitioner's name do not figure in the statement under Section 183 of the BNSS of the victim.

Having considered the incident complained is of the year 2021 and the petitioner's name is not appearing in the statement under Section 183 of the BNSS, I am inclined to extend the benefit of

anticipatory bail. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Tarun Mondal** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is in progress, petitioner shall meet with the investigating officer of the case once in a week till the report in final form is submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 3857 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Report submitted by the State relating to the service effected upon the informant and the memo of evidence submitted b be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)