173. 23.10.2026
(D/L) CT. NO. 07
(ARPAN-A.R.CT.)
(SWARUP-A.R.CT.)

(ALLOWED)

In The High Court at Calcutta

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION
(Appellate Side)

C.R.M. (A) 3821 of 2026

In Re: An application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Domjur Police Station Case No.758 of 2026 dated 10.09.2026 under Sections 62/ 64/ 74/ 76/ 109(1)/ 117(2)/ 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023;

And

In Re: **DEVINDER SINGH ALIAS DEBENDAR SINGH DHILAN ALIAS DEVENDRA SINGH DHILLON ALIAS BINDI**

... Petitioner

Mr. Debashis Roy, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Avik Ghatak, Adv.
Mr. Sagnik Mukherjee, Adv.
Mr. Shankar Singh, Adv.
Mr. S. Kar, Adv.

...For Petitioner

Mr. Paramanand Sharma, APP
Mr. Amitava Karmakar, Adv.
Mr. Malay Dhar, Adv.
Mrs. Aishwarya Madhushree, Adv.

...For State

Mr. Pritam Choudhury, Adv.
Mr. Kuntal Roy, Adv.
Mr. A. Sardar, Adv.
Mr. Sourav Mondal, Adv.
Mr. A. Santra, Adv.

...For Defacto Complainant

1. The petitioner, the State and the defacto complainant are represented by learned advocates.

2. At the time of hearing Mr. Debashis Roy, learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits before this Court that the allegation as made out in the written complaint against the present accused petitioner is out and out false and the present accused petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case.

It is thus submitted that appropriate relief/ reliefs may be granted to the present petitioner in terms of the prayer made in the instant application for anticipatory bail.

3. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the State draws attention of this Court to the statements made by the victim as recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, 2023. It is further submitted that the allegation as made out in the FIR gets due support from such statement.

4. Learned Advocate appearing on behalf of the defacto complainant while opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail contends that the present accused petitioner is the employer of the victim/ defacto complainant.

5. On careful perusal of the entire materials as placed before this Court, it appears that the allegation as made out in the written complaint is really grave in nature. However, on a comparative study of the statement of the victim as recorded under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023 and the written complaint, this Court noticed so much exaggeration and/ or departure wherefrom the chance of false implication and/ or exaggeration cannot be ruled out.

6. In view of aforesaid consideration, this Court is inclined to allow the prayer of anticipatory bail.

7. It is thus ordered that in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of ₹25,000/- with two registered sureties of ₹12,500/- each, subject to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Howrah and also on condition that the present accused petitioner shall meet the Investigation Officer of this case at least thrice a week and/ or as and when called for by the said IO till conclusion of the investigation.

8. It is further ordered that the present petitioner shall abide by the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

9. Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(PARTHA SARATHI SEN, J.)