12.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.21.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 3844 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Ghola Police Station case no. 413 of 2025 dated 13.12.2025 under Sections 85/108 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Sayan Chatterjee

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Satadru Lahiri
Mr. Tirthankar Dhali
Mrs. Smita Saha
Mr. Sk. Saidullah
Mr. Mithun Mondal
Mr. Mir Md. Minhaj

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Koushik De
Ms. Deblina Bhattacharjee

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that marriage was solemnized in the year 2021 because of dispute the deceased/wife of the present petitioner returned to her parental home and subsequently committed suicide. After a month of the incident, the information was furnished to the police station subsequent to which a case has been registered under Sections 85/108 of the BNS, 2023.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the brother of the deceased under Section 183 of the BNSS, 2023 as also the information furnished by the mother of the deceased.

I have taken into account the post-mortem report and the statement of the witnesses as also the fact that charge-sheet has been submitted before the jurisdictional Court. Having considered

the overall circumstances, I am of the opinion that custodial interrogation at this stage is unwarranted. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Sayan Chatterjee** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Since, charge-sheet has already been submitted before the jurisdictional Court this order of anticipatory bail of the petitioner shall remain in force for a period of six weeks from date.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 3844 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)