

21.01.2026
Serial no. 89
[G.S.D]

CRM (NDPS) 1524 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **NDPS Case No. 29 of 2025 arising out of Domkal PS Case No. 139 of 2025 dated 18.02.2025 u/s 21(c)/29 of the NDPS Act, 1985.**

-And-

In the matter of : Pijush Sardar

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sabir Ahmed
Mr. Anisur Rahman
Mr. Dilwar Azad

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya
Mr. Aritra Bhattacharya

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner - who is the driver of the vehicle, is innocent of the charges and has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case. Learned advocate also submits that the petitioner is in custody for eleven months and three days.

Additionally, it has been submitted that since charges have been framed and a co-accused has also been granted bail, the petitioner may be granted the privilege of bail.

Learned advocate for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that from the

conscious possession of the present petitioner, 5000 bottles of phensedyl were recovered.

Having considered the quantum of seizure being recovered and the stage of the case, I am of the view that the rigors Section 37 of the NDPS Act is attracted, as such, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(NDPS) 1524 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

However, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the process of trial and give priority for examination of the seizure list witnesses.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)

