

09-01-2026
Item No.31
Subrata
Bhattacharyya
AR(C)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.26856 of 2025
Subrata Chattopadhyay & Ors.
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anindya Bose
Mr. Sakhawat Khandakar
Mr. Mridul Biswas ...for the petitioners

Mr. Sirsanya Bandyopadhyay, SSC
Mr. Sandip Das Gupta
Ms. Mahima Cholera
Mr. Niket Jha ...for the State

Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, sr. adv.
Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya
Ms. Pramiti Bandopadhyay
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh ...for the Commission

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya
Mr. Bibek Dutta ...for the Board

1. The petitioners are aggrieved by the manner in which their answers were evaluated by the expert committee in the 2nd SLST 2025.
2. According to the petitioners, option 'D' was correct. Experts opined that options 'B' and 'C' were correct. The petitioners submit that as the answer provided by the petitioners tallied with the preliminary answer key, accordingly, they should be awarded marks for the same.
3. From the report filed by the Commission it appears that there were as many as ninety-eight objections filed against the answer found to be

correct at the preliminary answer key stage, the said question was forwarded to the experts for their opinion. The experts opined that answers 'B' and 'C' are correct. The answer provided in the preliminary answer key was found to be incorrect.

4. The Court not being an expert would not like to comment on the answer provided by the experts committee.
5. In view of the above, the Court is not inclined to exercise jurisdiction in the matter.
6. The writ petition fails and is hereby dismissed.
7. All parties are to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.
8. Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]

