

20/04
2026

AD/09/24
266312
NANDY

(DISMISSED)

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

WPA/26818/2025

FAZLUL HAQUE MOLLA
VS.
THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Mr. Sounak Ghosh, Advocate
Mr. Rajesh Naskar, Advocate
Mr. Md. Jannat Ul Firdous, Advocate

.....for the Petitioner

Mr. K.J. Yusuf, Advocate
Mr. Saurav Chaudhuri, Advocate

.....for the State

Mr. Kalyan Bandopadhyay, Sr. Advocate
Mr. Biswaroop Bhattacharya, Advocate
Ms. Pramiti Bandopadhyay, Advocate
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag, Advocate
Mr. Rahul Kumar Singh, Advocate

.....for the WBCSSC

1. Affidavit of service, as filed, be kept with the record.
2. The grievance of the petitioner is that his prior teaching experience has not been considered and marks have not been allotted to him. The petitioner had however, not mentioned his prior teaching experience at the time of filling up the form.
3. Mr. Singh, learned Advocate appearing for the Commission refutes the submission of the Mr. Ghosh, learned Advocate for the petitioner that the petitioner have the prior teaching experience as specified under Schedule-II A(2)(b) of the Notification No. WB(Part-I)/2025/SAR-247 dated 29.05.2025.
4. The petitioner was merely a para-teacher who had been teaching students of Class-VIII. Thus, the petitioner's inability to mention his prior teaching experience in the form coupled with the fact that he does not have the requisite qualification to apply for prior teaching experience, are reasoned enough to reject the writ-petition.
5. The writ-petition is accordingly **dismissed**. No order as to costs.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

