

06.01.2026
Court No.28
Item No.29
tbsr
Reject

CRM (A) 4033 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Lalgola** P.S. Case No. **584 of 2025** dated **03.07.2025** under Sections **126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5)** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and charge sheet submitted under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Tahidul Islam**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Suman Bhanja

....for the petitioner.

Mr. Prasun Kr. Dutta, Ld. APP
Mr. Soumadip Saha

....for the State.

Md. Golam Nure Imrohi
Mr. Susnigdho Bhattacharyya

....for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. Charge sheet has already been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant submits that one of the victims has become paralyzed due to infliction of injury.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He refers to the statements of the witnesses and the injury report, two of which record the infliction of grievous injury.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary and the alleged role ascribed to the present

petitioner, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)