13.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.45.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 3755 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Bagdah Police Station case no. 653 of 2026 dated 22.08.2026 under Sections 126(2)/118(2)/110/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Laxmi Majumder @ Majumdar

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee
Mr. Avik Ghosh
Ms. Ankusha Ghosh

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Gouranga Kr. Das, Ld. APP
Mr. Palash Chandra Podder
Mr. Rajesh Saha

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that there was a dispute pursuant to which petitioner being a lady has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and draws the attention of the Court to the injury report as well as the statement of the injured.

Prima facie, I find that there is complicity of the present petitioner so far as the alleged offence is concerned, however, petitioner being a lady, I am of the opinion that on stringent conditions custodial detention may be avoided. As such, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Laxmi Majumder @ Majumdar** is **allowed.**

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such

terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Petitioner shall stay outside the jurisdiction of Bagdah police station and inform her address to the investigating officer of the case. The investigating officer of the case would as and when required seek her cooperation and inform her for participation in the investigation.

Learned Magistrate in seisin of the case would impose conditions that the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned Magistrate in spite of the fact that whether the report in final form is submitted or not.

It is also directed that this order of anticipatory bail shall remain in force for a period of six weeks.

The conditions imposed above would be modified by the learned jurisdictional Court after the report in final form is submitted.

With the aforesaid observations CRM (A) 3755 of 2026 is **allowed.**

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)