16.11.2026
Item No.9
Ct.No.35
dc.
Allowed

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (A) 3741 of 2026

In Re : An Application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Chopra Police Station Case No. 468 of 2026 dated 29.06.2026 under Sections 308(5)/351(3)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 read with Sections 25/27/35 of the Arms Act (G.R. Case No. 2073/2026).

And

In Re : **Rubi Khatoon**

... Petitioner.

Ms. Sujata Das

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Arindam Dey,
Ms. Casurina Chatterjee

... For the State.

Inadvertently in the order dated 12.10.2026, the number of the application has been wrongly typed as "CRM (A) 3741 of 2025". The same is incorrect and be read as "**CRM (A) 3741 of 2026**".

Other portions of the order dated 12.10.2026 shall remain the same.

The department is directed to incorporate necessary correction in the order dated 12.10.2026.

Let the aforesaid part of the order be treated as part and parcel of the order dated 12.10.2026.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been implicated in connection with the instant case pursuant to change of administration.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and draws the attention of the Court to the statement of the witnesses.

I have taken into account the complicity of the present petitioner and I am of the opinion that custodial detention of the present petitioner in connection with the instant case is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, in the event of arrest, the petitioner viz., **Rubi Khatoon** shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

Since the investigation of the case is still in progress, the petitioner shall make herself available as and when called for by the Investigating Officer of the case for the sake of investigation.

Memo of Evidence submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for anticipatory bail, being CRM (A) 3741 of 2026, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)