

20.01.2026.
19
Ct.No.24.
as

WPA 26519 of 2025

State Bank of India & Anr.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anirban Pramanick,
Mr. Punarbasu Nath,
Ms. Bhagyasree Dey.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Manas Kr. Kundu,
Ms. Sucheta Banerjee.

...for the State.

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that an order passed under Section 14 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of the Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), by the respondent No.2 on August 2, 2021 has not yet been executed.
2. The parties have had a long and checkered history in their commercial dealings and even thereafter, as the borrower sought to pay off the dues, pursuant to a one time settlement. However, such settlement failed as the borrower was unable to honour its commitment.
3. The orders of status quo and further orders of this Hon'ble Court had been instrumental in keeping the order under Section 14 of the said Act passed by the respondent No.2 on August 2, 2021 in abeyance for a long period of time.

4. Presently, inspite of several opportunities given to the borrower and attempts made by the parties, no settlement could be arrived.
5. The petitioner has already deposited the cost for deployment of the police authorities to enable them to execute the order passed by the respondent No.2 on August 2, 2021. The respondent No.3 is directed to take appropriate steps and deploy sufficient police personnel to execute the order of August 2, 2021 by February 22, 2026 in accordance with law.
6. The borrower will be at liberty, in the interregnum to approach the bank to make further attempts to settle the matter, if the bank agrees to do so on the terms and conditions that may be mutually decided by the parties. The last rejection was made on January 19, 2026.
7. It is made clear that the attempts made by the borrower to settle the matter will not be a fetter nor stand in the way of the respondent police authorities to render all assistance to the petitioner in compliance of this order.
8. With the aforestated direction, the writ petition is disposed of.
9. Since affidavits have not been called for, allegations contained in the petition are deemed to have been denied.
10. There shall be no order as to costs.
11. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertaking.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra, J.)

