

20.02.2026
Serial no. 19
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2414 of 2025

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Khanakul Police Station Case No. 511 of 2025 dated 19.07.2025 corresponding to GR No. 1335 of 2025 u/s 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/76/79/352/351(3)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

-And-

In the matter of : Asta Pandit

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Debasish Banerjee
Mr. Partha Sarathi Das
Mr. Suman Chakraborty

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Prasun Kumar Dutta, APP
Mr. Atif Ahmed Siddiqui

... for the State-respondent(s)

Mr. Subhabrata Chowdhury

... for the defacto-complainant(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 216 days and the investigating agency on conclusion of investigation has already submitted charge-sheet before the learned jurisdictional court.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant is present and opposes the prayer for bail. Learned advocate submits that the incident is a ghastly incident and even very recently the defacto-complainant/victim was threatened.

Learned advocate for the State also opposes the prayer for bail and draws the attention of the court to the statement of different witnesses including the statement of

the victim under section 183 of the BNSS as also the injury report.

Having taken into account the overall circumstances, *prima facie*, it reflects that there has been a long standing family dispute between family of the accused and the family of the victim – both are related. Moreover, I find that at the time of the incident, the wife of the accused was also present.

Considering the detention of the present petitioner, I am inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioner viz, **Asta Pandit** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-(Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Learned ACJM, Arambagh.

If on bail, the petitioner shall also make himself physically available on each and every date so fixed by the learned court *in seisin* of the case and shall not leave the district of Hooghly without prior intimation to the learned court or the court *in seisin* of the case.

In case, there is any violation of the aforesaid condition, the learned court *in seisin* of the case would be at liberty to cancel the bail of the petitioner.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2414 of 2025 is **allowed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)