12.11.2026
Sl. No. 10 (DL)
Ct.No.19
(Susanta)
(Bench I.D. 266052)

WPA 29334 of 2026

Mukunda Ray & Anr.
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sandip Ray,
... For the Petitioners.
Mr. Amit Chakrabarti, Ld. AGP
Ms. Avantika Chakrabroty,
.... For the State.

1. The writ petitioners and the respondent State are represented by their respective Counsel.

2. At the time of hearing, Mr. Ray, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the petitioners at the very outset draws the attention of this Court to annexure 'P-5' of the instant writ petition being the copy of the representation dated 08.09.2025 as submitted by one Sri Sourav Mondal, the power of attorney holder of the present writ petitioners who have purchased one landed property measuring more or less 8 (Eight) Cotthas, lying and situated at Mouza Sapamirjanagar, J.L. No.11, Pargana Magura, under District Collectorate's Touzi No. 9 & 64 , R.S. No. 389/380, comprised in R.S. Dag No. 855 & L.R. Dag No. 978 appertaining to R.S. Khatian No. 36 corresponding to L.R. Khatian No. 809, now within the local limits of the Mahestala Municipality under

Ward No. 15, Kolkata 700142 A.D.S.R. Office at Behala District 24 Parganas South, which was purchased from Sri Lakkhi Kanta Naskar and others by virtue of a Deed of Conveyance being executed on 26.02.1993.

3. At the time of hearing, Mr. Ray submits before this Court that the present writ petitioners are not challenging the acquisition proceeding. However, the present writ petitioners are aggrieved for non-consideration of the representation dated 08.09.2025 by the respondent authorities, more specifically by the respondent no. 3 authority.

4. Ms. Chakraborty, learned advocate appearing on behalf of the respondent State, however, in her usual fairness submits before this Court that the respondent no. 3 authority may be directed to consider the writ petitioners' representation dated 08.09.2025 (annexure 'P-5' of the instant writ petition) in accordance with law.

5. In view of such, this Court while disposing of the instant writ petition directs the respondent no. 3 authority to consider the representation dated 08.09.2025 as submitted by one Sri Sourav Mondal being the power of attorney holder of the present writ petitioners in accordance with law and to pass a reasoned order after giving a due opportunity of hearing either to the writ petitioners or to their authorized representatives.

6. It is made clear that the entire process as indicated in the foregoing paragraph is to be completed within three months from the date of communication of this order.

7. Liberty is given to the learned advocate for the writ petitioners to communicate the server copy of this order to the respondent no. 3 forthwith.

8. The respondent no. 3 is directed to act on the server copy of this order.

9. Before parting with, it is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merits of the instant writ petition.

10. With the aforementioned observations, the instant writ petition being WPA 29334 of 2026 is disposed of.

11. Before parting with it is however, made clear that in the event while passing the reasoned order, the respondent no 3 authority finds sufficient merit in the said representation dated 08.09.2025, he is to take all consequential actions soon thereafter.

12. Urgent Photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all the necessary formalities.

**(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)**