19.11.2026
Court No.35.
D/L.62.
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (A) 3707 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Anticipatory Bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Lalgarh Police Station case no. 117 of 2026 dated 30.08.2026 under Sections 85/115(2)/117(2)/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and adding Section 109 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Pintu Garai

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Soumyajit Das Mahapatra
Mr. Madhurai Sinha
Ms. Upasana Banerjee
Mr. Bisal Roy

.....for the Petitioner.

Ms. Arushi Rathore
Mr. Saswata Chatterjee

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is the husband who has been implicated in connection with the instant case because of a trivial matrimonial dispute.

Learned advocate appearing for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

Earlier order dated 12.10.2026 reflects that petitioner was directed to meet with the investigating officer of the case once a week, till date petitioner has been complying with the condition.

Having regard to the overall circumstances of the present case, I am of the opinion that custodial detention is uncalled for as the petitioner has been complying with the conditions earlier

imposed. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner namely, **Pintu Garai** is **allowed.**

As such, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer on such terms and conditions as he deems fit and proper subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

As the investigation of the case is still in progress, petitioner will continue with meeting the investigating officer of the case once a week till the report in final form is submitted before the jurisdictional Court.

Accordingly, CRM (A) 3707 of 2026 is **allowed.**

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the anticipatory bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)