

**09.02.2026**  
**Serial no. 181**  
[G.S.D]

**CRM (M) 2392 of 2025**

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Tamluk PS Case No. 297 of 2023 dated 20.03.2023 under Sections 376 AB/506 of the IPC, 1860 & Section 6 POCSO Act.**

-And-

**In the matter of : XXX**

... Petitioner(s)

Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya  
Mr. Sourat Nandy  
Mr. P. Roy

... for the Petitioner(s)

Mr. Arijit Ganguly  
Ms. Rajnandini Das

... for the State-respondent(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for two years eleven months and added that as vulnerable witnesses have been examined, as such, on any stringent condition, the petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary.

A copy of the evidence of the victim was also forwarded.

I have taken into account the statement of the victim under section 164 of the Cr.P.C.; as well as the deposition before the court and the medicolegal examination of the victim.

Having regard to the same, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for releasing the petitioner on bail.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2392 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**