

20.02.2026

Court No.35.

D/L. 18.

Kausik

(Allowed)

CRM (M) 2384 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Ratua Police
Station Case No. 392 of 2025 dated 19.05.2025 under Sections
64(2)/109/351(2)/329(4) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of : Ainul Hoque @ Ainul @ Md. Ainul Hoque

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Mritunjoy Chatterjee
Ms. Sahin Parvin
Mr. Imdadul Hoque

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Anupam Das Adhikary
Mr. Sourat Nandy

.....for the State.

Report submitted by the State enclosing the
acknowledgment be kept with the record.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for
bail, produces the case diary and draws the attention of the
Court to the statement of the victim/defacto under section 183
of the BNSS. On an assessment of the said statement along
with the statement of other witnesses, I am of the view further
detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is
allowed.

Accordingly, Petitioner, namely, **Ainul Hoque @ Ainul @ Md. Ainul Hoque** shall furnish bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand Only) with two sureties of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) each. One of whom must be local to the satisfaction of Learned ACJM, Chanchal.

If on bail petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date fixed before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of District of Malda without the prior permission of the Court.

Accordingly, CRM (M) 2384 of 2025 is **allowed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)