

10.02.2026
Serial no. 13
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2370 of 2025

In re : An Application for **Bail** under Section **439** of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with **Hanskhali PS Case No. 768 of 2021 dated 05.11.2021 u/s 447/326/307/302 & 34 of the IPC vide GR Case No. 598 of 2023.**

-And-

In the matter of : Narayan Biswas

	... Petitioner(s)
Mr. S. Das	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta Ms. Puja Goswami	... for the State-respondent(s)
Mr. Manas Kr. Das Ms. Samima Akter	... for the defacto-complainant

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about four years two months and till date out of the 26 witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution only four witnesses have been examined.

State has submitted a report in a tabular form. The report reflects the manner in which the defence has been stretching time for cross-examination.

I have considered the merits of the case so far as the evidence which has surfaced and I find in the statement under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C. of the witnesses that substantial complicity of one Mihir Biswas is revealed. The said Mihir Biswas was granted anticipatory bail by a Division Bench of this Hon'ble Court in CRM(A) 1282 of 2022.

The petitioner is in custody for four years two months. I find from the records that single dates are being fixed after two months and only three witnesses till date has been examined and the cross-examination of P.W.4 is in progress.

Having considered the period of detention of the present petitioner as also the fact that there is no possibility of the trial concluding in the near future, I am of the view that further custodial detention of the present petitioner is unwarranted.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Allowed.**

Accordingly, the petitioner viz, Narayan Biswas shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Learned ACJM, Ranaghat.

If on bail, the petitioner shall also make himself physically available on each and every date so fixed by the learned trial court and shall not enter into the jurisdiction of Hanskhali Police Station without prior permission of the Inspector-in-charge or the learned trial court *in seisin* of the case.

Additionally, the petitioner shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Nadia without prior permission of the learned Special Court.

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2370 of 2025 is **allowed**.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)