

05.01.2026
Sl. No.10
NB

CRM (A) 3832 of 2025

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Habra PS Case No.157/2025 dated 20.01.2025 under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/117(2)/108/238/351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita 2023.

And

In the matter of: Mahabubar Rahaman @ Bipul @ Mahabub Rahaman
... petitioner

Mr. Debasis Kar.

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Das,
Ms. Hasi Jana.

...for the de facto complainant.

Ms. Anasuya Sinha,
Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee.

...for the State.

Heard the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the de facto complainant and the State.

Perused the case diary including the further statements of the victim's children.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of neighbours contained at pages 11 and 12 of the case diary and the statements of the de facto complainant and the other minor children of the victim, I do not consider this to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)