27.10.2026
Court No.8.
S/L 85
Mujahid/
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (M) 2584 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Tamluk** Police Station **Case No. 959 of 2024** dated **30.10.2024** under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/316(5)/61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66/66B/66C/66D of Information Technology Act, 2000.

And

In the matter of : Umar Faruque @ Umar Faruk @ Omar Faruk

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Md. Sabir Ahmed
Mr. Bhaskar Hutait

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Gouranga Kumar Das, Ld. APP
Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee

.....for the State.

Petitioner was arrested on 9th December, 2024 and since then he is in custody. According to the learned advocate some of the other co-accused persons have been granted bail and till date out of 26 witnesses proposed to be examined by the prosecution 11 witnesses have been examined.

Learned advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the complicity of the present petitioner is completely different from the other accused persons.

Be that as it may, petitioner is in custody for more than 1 year 10 months. The nature of the evidences are documentary and digital materials.

Having regard to the overall circumstances and the fact that some more time will be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion. Only on the ground of incarceration, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed.**

As such, the petitioner namely, **Umar Faruque @ Umar Faruk @ Omar Faruk** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of district of Purba Medinipur without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Additionally, if the petitioner intends to attend Court proceedings, petitioner would inform the learned Trial Court in advance regarding the Court proceedings where he was required to be physically present for the purposes of the progress of the said proceedings and thereafter he may be permitted to leave the district.

With the aforesaid observations, CRM (M) No. 2584 of 2026 is **allowed.**

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)