

11.02.2026
ML-76
Ct. No. 07
Srimanta

In the High Court at Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 25146 of 2025

Subrata Tat
-Vs.-
West Bengal State Electricity Distribution
Company Limited & Ors.

Mr. Amal Kumar Banerjee
...for the petitioner.

Dr. Madhusudan Saha Ray,
Mr. Debanjan Chatterjee
...for the WBSEDCL.

Mr. Subhajit Mukherjee
...for the private respondent.

1. The grievance of the petitioner is directed against non-supply of an electricity connection.

2. On behalf of the WBSEDCL, it is submitted that there is serious objection from the private respondent and the above connection cannot be granted to the petitioner. In fact, there is no way leave provided by the petitioner despite repeated reminders.

3. On behalf of the private respondent, it is submitted that there is gross suppression and the petitioner had opposed a proceeding which the

private respondent had filed under Section 144 of the BNSS.

4. In view of the submissions made on behalf of the parties and the nature of disputes that have arisen between the parties and in the light of Rule 3 of the Works of Licensee Rules, 2006, WPA/25146/2025 stands disposed of by directing the concerned District Magistrate having jurisdiction, i.e. The District Magistrate, Hooghly to consider the grievance of the petitioner and pass a reasoned order after hearing all the affected parties including the private respondent.

5. For the purposes of such exercise, the District Magistrate is to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and dispose of the same within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

6. It is made clear that no unnecessary adjournments are to be granted to either of the parties.

7. It is also clarified that there has been no adjudication on the merits of the case and all questions are left open to be decided in accordance with law.

(Ravi Krishan Kapur, J.)