

66
jdt. 23.02.2026
jb.

WPA 25525 of 2025
(Piyarul Islam vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.)

Mr. Kingshuk Mondal
Ms. Sumitra Das
.... For the Petitioner
Mr. Biswajit Dutta
.... For the State
Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Ms. Priti Barman
.... For the Respondent no. 5

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and the report submitted by the State are taken on record.

The petitioner complains that though the 5th respondent sought to purchase the brick kiln business from the petitioner and paid the entire consideration amount of Rs.58,50,000/-, subsequently he in connivance with the 6th respondent has been demanding the said money and threatening him with dire consequences. The petitioner lodged complaint before the police authority which has not been acted upon.

Learned counsel for the 5th respondent submits that the entire amount has been admittedly paid by the 5th respondent to the petitioner and since the 5th respondent is not inclined to take over the business, the petitioner is bound to return the said amount to him.

It appears from the report submitted by the State that pursuant to the complaint lodged by the petitioner enquiry has been held and NCR submitted against both the parties. The dispute between the parties is with regard to claim of the

consideration amount by the 5th respondent from the petitioner. The petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.

In the meantime, the police authority shall keep strict vigil in the area matter and ensure maintenance peace and tranquility.

No fruitful purpose shall be served in keeping the writ petition pending.

The same is accordingly disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit has been invited, allegations contained in the writ petition shall be deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)