

05.02.2026

Court No.35.

M/L. 162.

Kausik

(Rejected)

CRM (M) 2248 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/ Section 439 of the Code of
Criminal Procedure, 1973 in connection with Nimta Police
Station Case No. 54 of 2025 dated 10.02.2025 under Section 8
and 12 of the POCSO Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of : Ranjit Some

.....Petitioner.

Mr. S. Bhattacharjee

.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Souryadeep Ghosh

....for the Defacto-Complainant.

Ms. Shaila Afrin

Mr. Karan Bapuli

.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits
that petitioner is in custody for about 360 days and the case is
progressing very slowly.

Learned advocate for the defacto complainant submits
that a 11 years old boy has been subjected to sexual offence at
the behest of the present petitioner.

Learned advocate for the State has drawn the attention
of the Court to the statement under Section 183 of BNSS as
well as the medical report and submitted that at the end of the

investigation, charge sheet was submitted under Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

Having considered the overall circumstances, I direct that the petitioner be well advised to renew his prayer for bail after the evidence of the victim is over.

At this stage, CRM (M) 2248 of 2025 is **dismissed**.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)