

WPA 25083 of 2025

Sahanaj Parvin
Vs.
The State of W. B. & Ors.

Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee
Ms. Sarmistha Basak ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajarshi Basu
Mr. S.T. Mina ...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner and report submitted by the State are taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner complains that though the petitioner and her family members were severely assaulted by the accused and sustained grievous injuries and modesty of the women folk of the family was outraged by them, the provisions of Section 109/118(2) of the BNS has not been invoked.

The petitioner seeks a fair and impartial investigation.

It appears from the report submitted by the State that upon completion of investigation charge sheet has been submitted by the under Section 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/74/303(2)/317(2)/109(1)/3(5) of the BNS against the 11 FIR named accused persons.

In view of the above, the grievance of the petitioner having been substantially redressed, no fruitful purpose

shall be served in keeping the writ petition pending. The same is accordingly disposed of.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)