

M/L 289
29.01.2026

Bpg.
Dismissed

C.R.M. (M) 2234 of 2025

In Re: An application for bail Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Md. Bazar Police Station Case No.336 of 2024 dated 01.12.2024 under Sections 126(2)/117(2)/109/351(2)(3)/103 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023;

Paltan Yadav
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar
Ms. Sneha Srivastava.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur.
...for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 1 year 1 month and although charges have been framed, there has been no progress in the trial of the case.

Learned advocate for the State has produced the case diary.

I have taken into account the injury in the post-mortem report and I am of the view that this is not a fit case for granting bail. At this stage, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, State is directed to expedite the progress of the trial and to conclude at least 10 witnesses in the next six months.

Petitioner would approach this Court after the aforesaid period is over.

At this stage, CRM(M)2234 of 2025 is dismissed.

Learned advocate for the State would communicate this order to the learned trial court. No unnecessary adjournment be granted to any of the parties and the prosecution of this case would continue in spite of any resolution of the local bar.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)