27.10.2026
Court No.8.
S/L 81
Mujahid/
Rakib
(Allowed)

CRM (M) 2526 of 2026

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Chandannagar Cyber Crime** Police Station **Case No. 30 of 2024** dated **14.11.2024** under Sections 318(4)/316(2)/317(4)/61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 66/66D of Information Technology Act, 2000.

And

In the matter of : Golam Mustafa

.....Petitioner.

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee
Ms. Sonali Das
.....for the Petitioner.

Mr. Gouranga Kumar Das, Ld. APP
Mr. Moyukh Mukherjee
.....for the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner is in custody for about 1 year 11 months. The trial of the case is in progress, eight witnesses have already been examined and the evidence of P.W.9 will commence.

State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are 14 other cases against the present petitioner.

I have taken into account the period of custody and the fact that the State intends to examine 14 more witnesses in support of its case. Having regard to the period of detention of the present petitioner and the fact that sometime will be required to take the trial to its logical conclusion along with the fact that the prosecution materials are in custody of the investigating agency/prosecuting agency where

there is less chance of being tampered. Having considered the same, I am inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed.**

As such, the petitioner namely, **Golam Mustafa** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only), with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand only) each, one of whom must be local to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chinsurah, Hooghly.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date before the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of district of Hooghly without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

Additionally, if the petitioner is duty bound to attend other proceedings, in such cases information be furnished before the learned Trial Court. Learned Trial Court accordingly will grant permission for attending the said litigations.

With the aforesaid observations, CRM (M) No. 2526 of 2026 is **allowed.**

Memo of evidence submitted by the State be kept with the record.

The observations made above are only restricted for adjudication of the bail application and should not be considered a final opinion on the merits of the case.

All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)