

29.01.2026

Item No. M/L.273

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

C.R.M. (M) 2177 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed in connection with Katwa Police Station Case No. 494 of 2023 dated 26.05.2023 under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : **Santu Pandit**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Debapratim Guha,
Mr. Diptendu Narayan Bandyopadhyay

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee,
Mr. Subhajit Chowdhury

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for 2 years 8 months and only three witnesses, till date, have been examined. Learned advocate further submits that evidence so deposed, till date, do not inspire confidence for implication of the present petitioner.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that five witnesses have already been examined and evidence of the case implicates the present petitioner only.

Having considered the *post mortem* report and the attending circumstances so collected by the investigating agency in course of the trial, I am of the view that the manner in which the deceased was murdered is grave and

heinous in nature. As such, at this stage, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

Learned Trial Court would take steps for expediting the trial of the case.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 2177 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)