

04.02.2026

Item No. M/L.138

Ct.No.35

dc.

Allowed

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

**C.R.M. (M) 2107 of 2025**

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Haringhata Police Station Case No. 236 of 2024 dated 11.05.2024 under Sections 498A/302/304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and charge-sheet submitted under Sections 498A/304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 read with Sections 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In Re : **Firoj Mondal @ Firoz Mondal**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Debarshi Brahma,  
Mr. Subarna Bank

... For the Petitioner.

Mrs. Manisha Sharma,  
Mr. Tirupati Mukherjee

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 24.05.2024 and the incident happened within two years of marriage, consequent to which the criminal case was registered. The case is under Section 304B of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the present stage of the case and the submissions advanced by the learned advocate for the State. Attention of the Court has been drawn to the statement of the relations including the *post mortem* report. I have assessed the overall circumstances and I do not find a specific overt act attributed to the present petitioner. Having

regard to the period of detention of the petitioner, I am of the view that since the trial is in progress and only two witnesses have been examined, out of the cited 16 witnesses, further detention of the present petitioner in connection with the instant case is unwarranted. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **allowed**.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., **Firoj Mondal @ Firoz Mondal** shall be released on bail upon furnishing bond of Rs.20,000/-, with two sureties of Rs.10,000/- each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kalyani, Nadia.

If on bail, the petitioner shall be physically present on each and every date so fixed by the learned Trial Court and shall not leave the jurisdiction of the district of Nadia without prior permission of the learned Trial Court.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 2107 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

**(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)**