

22.06.2026

Ct. No. 34

S/L No.9

sg

CRR 4282 of 2024
in
I.A. No. CRAN 4 of 2026

In the matter of : **Jai Kumar Goyal**

Mr. Rudraman Bhattacharyya

Mr. Chiranjit Pal

Mr. Subha Pathak

... Petitioner.

Mr. S Chowdhury

Ms. Imran Hasan

Mr. Himanshu Bhawsingka

...for the opposite party.

Mr. Kallol Mondal

Ms. Ananya Chakaraborty

...for the State.

Re. : CRAN 4 of 2026

1. Report submitted by the State, let it be kept with the record.

2. This is an application for effectuating the compromise settlement arrived by and between the parties out of Court in respect of the revisional application which was filed by the petitioner for quashing of the proceeding pending before the learned court of Magistrate, on the basis of the complaint lodged by the opposite party no.2.

Report was called from the State to that extent and a report is furnished before this Court along with the statement made by both the parties.

The prayer for quashing of the proceeding was in respect of the allegation leveled against them under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471/380 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. On completion of investigation the final report was submitted holding that no offence could be established against the FIR named accused and prayed for closure of the case as mistake of facts against the said disclosure defacto-complainant filed protest petition and after hearing further investigation was directed.

4. Subsequently investigating Agency, Officer-in-charge, Special Cell (GS), Detective Department, Kolkata Police was directed to hand over the Case Diary to the Officer-in-charge, Shakespeare Sarani, Police Station for the purpose of further investigation against that order as well as praying for quashing the revisional application was filed. In view of terms of settlement and memorandum of understanding executed between the family members of both the parties to settle the dispute, there remains nothing for further adjudication in this matter.

5. It is time and again reiterated by the Hon'ble Apex Court to a catena of decisions where in the case other than with regard to the heinous offence like murder or where the punishment is death or life imprisonment, parties after entering into compromise allowing a proceeding to be continued would be futile since order of conviction will be remote and bleak.

6. Therefore, there is no reason for not accepting prayer made before the Court by filing this joint application.

7. Hence this Court is inclined to allow the prayer. Considering the joint petition and the submissions of both the parties as well as learned public prosecutor.

8. Hence this revisional application being CRR 4282 of 2024 is hereby disposed of. Proceeding pending before the learned Court under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471/380 of the Indian Penal Code is hereby quashed against the present petitioner and the petitioners be discharged from this respective bail bonds.

9. Let a copy of this order be forwarded to the concerned court for information and taking necessary action.

10. Parties are to act on the server copy of this order downloaded from the official *website* of the Calcutta High Court.

[Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J]