

28.01.2026

Item No.34

Ct.No.35

dc.

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M. (M) 2041 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Ketugram Police Station Case No. 184 of 2024 dated 06.04.2024 under Sections 489A/489B/489C/34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In Re : **Nurul Sk**

... Petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Dinda

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Parvej Anam

... For the State.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for more than 1 year 10 months and only one witness, out of 11 witnesses cited by the prosecution has been examined.

Learned advocate appearing for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there are antecedents of the present petitioner which include cases under the Arms Act as well as the NDPS Act.

Having regard to the antecedents of the present petitioner, I am not inclined to release the petitioner on bail at this stage. As such, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **rejected**.

However, the prosecution must understand that for indefinite period, an accused cannot be detained in custody. Learned Trial Court would fix a schedule once in a month

consisting of three dates to take the trial to its logical conclusion.

The Memo of Evidence submitted by the learned advocate appearing for the State be kept with the record.

The application for bail, being CRM (M) 2041 of 2025, is, thus, disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)