

**07.01.2026**

Sl.No. 69

Ct.No. 32

Amalranjan

In The High Court At Calcutta  
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side

WPA 24967 of 2006

Habibur Rahaman  
Vs.  
The State of West Bengal and Ors.

1. The instant writ petition has been filed by the writ petitioner praying, inter alia, to direct upon the concerned respondent authority, their employees, sub-ordinates, agents and other assignees to provide electricity connection in the petitioner's STW being No. BGL/STW/12362 at the earliest and allow the petitioner to run the said STW.
2. None appears on behalf of the petitioner on call. No accommodation is sought for.
3. This case pertains to the year 2006. Almost 19 years have already passed.
4. Considering the nature of prayer and long pendency of this case, this court would like to dispose of the case on merit on the basis of materials available on record.
5. It appears from the record that the then co-ordinate Bench of this court, vide order dated 11.12.2006, directed to file the affidavit-in-

opposition and reply thereto. However, no affidavit-in-opposition and affidavit-in-reply have been filed as per the office report.

6. It further appears from the order dated 11.12.2006 that the co-ordinate Bench had, inter alia, passed the order that “In the meantime, the petitioner is given liberty, without prejudice to his rights and contentions to pay the additional service connection as per the quotation dated 13<sup>th</sup> November, 2006 and if such payment is made within a period of four weeks from date, respondent Board shall effect supply of electricity to the petitioner with a further period of four weeks from the date of payment. This payment shall be made on condition that if it is eventually found that no such payment was required to be made, this money shall be refunded to the petitioner by the Board”.

7. It further appears from the record that the petitioner has deposited the quotation amount of Rs. 97,440/- on 17.01.2006.

8. After long passage of time it seems to this Court that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant case anymore either because the purpose of filing the writ petition has been resolved or that the petitioner abandoned his

prayer due to passage of time and/or that it has become infructuous.

9. In view of the above facts, the instant writ petition being **WPA 24967 of 2006** is **disposed of**.

10. Consequently, the connected application, if any, is also, thus, disposed of.

11. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

12. All parties shall act in terms of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

13. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties taking all legal formalities.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)