

17.02.2026
Serial no. 8
[G.S.D]

CRM (M) 2029 of 2025

In re : An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 in connection with Special ST-20/2023[SPL 108/2022] arising out of Kashipur Police Station Case No. 285 of 2022 dated 11.06.2022 u/s 376AB/376(2)(f) of the IPC, 1860 & Section 6 of the POCSO Act.
-And-

In the matter of : XXX

	... Petitioner(s)
Ms. Sonali Das	
	... for the Petitioner(s)
Mr. Anupam Das Adhikary	
Ms. Sana Naaz	
	... for the State-respondent(s)
Ms. Sibangi Chattopadhyay	
	... for the defacto-complainant(s)

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been implicated in the instant case because of family rivalry.

Learned advocate for the State, on the other hand, opposes the prayer for bail and produces the Statement of the victim under Section 164 of the Cr.P.C.

Learned advocate for the defacto-complainant also opposes the prayer for bail.

I have taken into account the statement before the learned Magistrate as well as the deposition in court by the victim girl in course of evidence of the case.

Having considered that the victim girl is of very tender age of about 10 years and that she has been

consistent in her statement before the learned Magistrate on an incident of earlier date and narrated the chronology of events before the learned trial court, I am of the view that this is not a fit case for releasing the petitioner on bail as the offence *prima facie* seems to assume heinous character.

Hence, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is **Rejected.**

Accordingly, CRM(M) 2029 of 2025 is **dismissed.**

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)